

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/546,399	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HULL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Marjorie A. Moran	<b>Art Unit</b> 1631	

**All Participants:**

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) G. Discher.

**Status of Application: Nonfinal**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 November 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Double patenting*

Claims discussed:

*1-2*

Prior art documents discussed:

*6,332,138*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that a terminal disclaimer over 6,332,138 had not been received, although the response filed 9/13/06 indicated that a TD for two patents had been filed. In a return message, Mr.Dlscher indicated that copies of all papers originally filed on 9/13/06 would again be filed...